App F IX 4

Previous References

19 48-49 MC

File No 1-48

Serial Nos 48, 49

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos five

P-16 (F)

Part B for Feb 49

SUBJECT

Creation of a post of Garden peon in Nagpur Town Mcty

L 8978-80/MC 1-48-49 dt 7-2-49

The Dy Commissioner
Controller of Govt
Pdts - Jute Nagpur

WD 015467—GPL—25 000—12 9 51

Sanction

4485/ml

Creation of the post of a garden peon in Nagada Town Municipality

From

The Dy Commr Bangalore Dt
no NG 237-2273/48 49 dt 7 12 48

Z

Requests Sanction to the Garden peon entertained by the Nagada Town Municipality from 6 6 45 on Rs 10/ p M in accordance with the Municipal Resolution dated 14 12-43 and the payment of enhanced pay at Rs 12/- p M as per Resolution dated 26 5 48 and also for the permanent continuance on the enhanced pay of Rs-12/ p M

1) The appointment of the peon on the said previous Sanction is Contrary to rules

2) The present financial Condition (for 48-49) of the Nagada Town Municipality is as follows -

opening Balance	—	Rs 7,664/
Receipts	-	Rs 38,515/
Total	-	Rs 46,179/-
Expenditure	-	Rs 35,148/
Closing Balance	-	Rs 11,031/

3) provision for the post is stated to have been made in the Budget

4) The above proposals of the D C

4485/mc

Creation of the post of a garden peon in Nagada Town Municipality

Ore for orders

Nag 20/12/48

5) A Dft for Lr to Govt may be put up with an abstract of the irregularities committed in this behalf.

21/12/48

6) Dft G.O. Sanctioning & put up for approval on the orders

Nag 1/1/49

Pr 5/1/49

(A) Maybe approved.

Secretary for 5/1/49

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR LOCAL SELF GOVE NMENT
No 3648
Dt. 8/1/49

Minister for L SG) 7/1/49

7/1/49

7) Appl but it may be ascertained after wards as to why they did not obtain the sanction of Govt all these years as it is permissible to draw the salary of the peon in question without the G.O.?

24/1/49

G.O. no. L 8978-80/mc 1-48-49 dt 7-2-49

Sanctions the proposal

1) The A.G., Bhopal
2) Comptroller
3) Secretary, Nagpur Cl

8) Issue of necessary orders

2/2/49

4408/mL. V 1216

Creation of the post of a Joint Secy in the Municipal Town Municipality

—

9) Draft Letter to the Dc Bangalore Dt for approval.

Put up 23/12/49

10) Issued 24/12/49

To

The Dc, Bangalore District
No L 9901 dated 1 3 49
Calls for information

Ref A 14 3 49

Letter No L dd6 391/49-50 dt 11-8-49 from the Dy Comm, Bangalore Dt, furnishing the information

11) It is reported that no such irregularities were observed during his inspection of the ML office during this year and that the Odt of the ML, has been intimated that no such expenditure should be incurred nor special esta should be entertained without specific sanction from Competent authorities

12) Submitted for perusal w/r to reference to para 7 supra

13) Mr Punt' referred to at para 7 has not been answered

apple of a Gardener for the
1216 - Regards municipality
DF

all further information may be
specially called for in the matter

from
1 P Hy

Sent with draft letter for appl
Clks
30/8
M/8

Dated
11/8/49

To The Dy Comm. Bloe dt (Rural)
No L 2915 dt 10-9-49

Calls for some information
30/9

From
The Dy - Comm Bangalore dt
Letter no R. 6 8248/49-S1 dt 14 8 49
forwarding a report on the matter

The Dy Comr has forwarded the connected papers and states that the post of the Gardener has since been sanctioned and also it has been included in the proposed establishment for the current year. The delay in obtaining sanction for the post may be condoned and the Dy Comr has advised the President not to create extra posts without previous sanction

M
11 9

350A appt for Gardener, Nagdi Mkt

ML 1-48

Since sanction of post has been accorded for the appointment of the gardener made from 6/6/50 no further action is called for.

A draft letter to the D.C. Nlore may be put up accordingly returning the papers

from GSI

Draft Reply for appt

11/9 11/9

1819 IM

To The Dy Comm, Bloe Dh

No L 6343 Dy 19-9-50

States that no further action is called for as the proposal has already been sanctioned

4685/mk

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, Dated 7th Decr 1948

M6 237-2293/48-49
Encl one file

To

Mh. 1-48-48

Janab Syed Abdul Aleem, B A ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

Sub: Creation of a post of Garden Peon in Magadi Town Municipality

I have the honour to state that it is reported that in accordance with the council resolution dated 14-12-1943, a Garden peon's post was created on a pay of Rs 10/- and one Nanjaiah was appointed temporarily against the said post with effect from 6-6-45 He was continued year after year upto 26-5-48 on which date his representation for enhancement of his pay was considered by the Council and a resolution passed increasing his pay to Rs 12/-P M and confirming him in his post

It is reported by the President that the duties of the Garden peon are not only to maintain the public gardens and parks but also to assist in Mohatarfa and other Miscellaneous collections for which no separate post is sanctioned that the garden peon's post is absolutely necessary on a permanent basis and that necessary Budget provision has been made in this behalf

In these circumstances, I request that sanction may kindly be accorded for the creation of the Garden peon's post on a permanent basis on a pay of Rs 10/- p m from 6-6-45 and on Rs 12/- p m from 26-5-48 and the action taken by the Council in having entertained a candidate and incurred expenditure from 6-6-45 without obtaining the previous sanction of Government ratified

The copies of the resolutions togetherwith the entire file of vernacular records are enclosed for favour of reference

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Rvp/

5 Copies

Proceedings of the Govt of H H
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read MH 1-48-49

Letter No M 6-237-2293
48 49
dated 7 12 48 from the D C
Bangalore At Stations that the
post of a garden peon on a pay
of Rs 10/7 p M was created on
the Nagar Town Municipality
with effect from 6 6 48 apparently
Due to one way or on perusal
of the Resolution dated 14 12 43
of the Municipal Council and that
the pay was subsequently enhanced
to Rs 12/ p M from 26 5-48 and
Requesting, in the circumstances
stated Sanction to the action of
the Municipal Council in having
created the post without prior
Sanction of Govt and to the
Continuance on a permanent basis
on the enhanced pay of Rs 12/- p M
from the above date

Order No 48978-80
7-2-49

Sanctioned with the observation

that it was irregular to have made the appointment without the previous sanction of Govt

Mr 3/11/49
Secy to Govt
Mar 1/11/49 to 5/11/49.

To
1) The A C Bhopal St 47/R ✓
2) " Comptroller to Govt ✓
3) " President Agency Tahsildar all with records

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

READ -

Letter No M6 237-2293/48-49 dated 7th December 1948 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District stating that the post of a garden peon on a pay of Rs 10 per mensem was created in the Magadi Town Municipality with effect from 6th June 1945 appointing thereto one Manjiah in pursuance of the resolution dated 14th December 1943 of the Municipal Council and that his pay was subsequently enhanced to Rs 12/- per mensem from 26th May 1948 and requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to the action of the Municipal Council in having created the post without prior sanction of Government and to its continuance on a permanent basis on the enhanced pay of Rs 12 per mensem from the above date

ORDER NO L 8978-80 Jud 1-48-49

Dated, Bangalore, the 7-2-49

Sanctioned with the observation that it was irregular to have made the appointment without the previous sanction of Government

By order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

General Secretary to Government

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with records
" Comptroller to Government
" President, Magadi Town Municipal Council with the records.

that it was irregular to have
made the appointments without
the previous sanction of Court

No. L 9901 dt 4/3/49

To

The Dy Comm
Bangalore Dte Circle

—

Subject – Creation of the post of a garden peon in Nagada Town Municipality

—

Sir

In Continuation of Govt No. L 8978-80 /ml 1-48-49 dated 7-2-49 on sanctioning the appointment of a garden peon in the Nagada Town Municipality, I am directed to enquire why sanction of Govt was not obtained to the appointment for so many years & how it was not observed in respect of the accounts by the Municipality for the entire dump the result of the enquiry by you (DC) all efforts these years

I am to add that the Municipality may be asked to comply with the rules in future cases and Govt see no reason to sanction the appointment

Sd/- 23/2/49
for Secy to Govt

that it was irregular to have
made the appointments without

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
L 6 3 1/ 9-50 Bangalore District,(Rural)
Bangalore, Dated 11th August 1949

To

Jnb ir Gaidr Usenin, B A ,
Secretary to Government of
General Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject Creation of the Post of Superintendent Municipal Magistracy

With reference to your letter No L 9901/ dated 1-3-49 and reminder No L 956 dated 20-7-49 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that no such irregularities were observed during my inspection of the Municipal Offices of Kanakapura this year

The President, Municipal Council has also been intimated that no expenditure if should be incurred nor special establishment should be entertained without specific sanction from the competent authorities

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
(Rural)

Rvp/2/-

that it was irregular to have
made the appointment without—

No. L 2915 Dt 10-9-1949

To The Dy Comm. Bangalore Dt (Rural)

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No L dis 391/49-50 dt 11-8-49 re the creation of the post of a Garden Boy in the Magadi Forest Range I am directed to state that the information ~~asked for in this office letter No~~ as to why Sanction of Govt was not obtained for the appointment for so many years ago, called for in this office letter No L 9901 dt 21-3-49 has not been furnished and to request that the same may be furnished early so that it may be permitted to draw the salary of the peon without ~~for~~ completion

Yours faithfully

for 31/8/49

for Secretary to Govt

JM

that it was irregular to have
made the appointment without

No M6 845/49-50

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, Dated 14th August 1950

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
LOCAL SELF-GOVT DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE

Sir,

SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF A CARDEN P IN THE A ADI MUNICIPALITY

With reference to your letter No L 2915 dated 10 9 1949 on the above subject I have the honour to enclose herewith the connected papers and to state that the post of the Gardener has since been sanctioned by Government and also has been included in the Proposition Statement of the Municipal Establishment

In the circumstances explained by the President I request that the delay in obtaining Government sanction for the said post may kindly be condoned

The President has been advised not to create extra posts in future without previous Government sanction

Enclosures accompany

Yours faithfully

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

RB)2

Appendix F (G—1]

SUBJECT appln of a Gardner on the roll of Advocates

Section

File No

Serial No

*Diary No of letter replied to

No L 6343 — WR of 1950

To,

The Secretary Bombay Govt

Sir, I am directed to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

2. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

3. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

4. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

5. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

6. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

7. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

8. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

9. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

10. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

11. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

12. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

13. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

14. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

15. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

16. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

17. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

18. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

19. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

20. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

21. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

22. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

23. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

24. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

25. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

26. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

27. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

28. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

29. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

30. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

31. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

32. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

33. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

34. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

35. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

36. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

37. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

38. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

39. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

40. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

41. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

42. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

43. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

44. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

45. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

46. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

47. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

48. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

49. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

50. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

51. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

52. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

53. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

54. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

55. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

56. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

57. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

58. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

59. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

60. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

61. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

62. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

63. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

64. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

65. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

66. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

67. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

68. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

69. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

70. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

71. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

72. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

73. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

74. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

75. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

76. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

77. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

78. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

79. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

80. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

81. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

82. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

83. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

84. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

85. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

86. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

87. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

88. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

89. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

90. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

91. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

92. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

93. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

94. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

95. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

96. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

97. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

98. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

99. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

100. I am also to say that Government have considered the application dated 14th October 1950 of Mr. [illegible] Gardner, Advocate, Ahmedabad, for permission to practise as an Advocate of the Bombay High Court and to state that the application has been rejected.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Secretary to Government

To

The Secretary

Bombay High Court

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by